

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/607,114	06/26/2003	Yu Ren	21068	3654
210 75	90 05/19/2006		EXAMINER	
MERCK AND	CO., INC	WARD, PAUL V		
P O BOX 2000 RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/	607,114	REN ET AL.				
		Exa	ıminer	Art Unit				
			JL V. WARD	1624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE (of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will appl will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNION no event, however, may be and will expire SIX (6) Months application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	, , ,							
_	Responsive to communication(s) file	ad on						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	, _							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or elec	ction requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		l or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examin	er. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		en received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
_	application from the Internation	•	,					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the	e certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachmen	, ,							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			f Informal Patent Application (P)	ΓO-152)			

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on February 21, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is found persuasive, and thus, Groups I and II will be examined.

Group III is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant is entitled to have the method claims (Groups III), which are commensurate in scope with the elected invention, rejoined if the compounds in Groups I and II are allowed.

Applicant reserved the right to file a divisional application to the non-elected subject matter.

An action on the merits of Groups I and II (claims 1-28) is contained herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bilodeau et al. (WO 01/17995).

Applicant claims salt forms of 4-[2-(5-cyano-thiazol-2-ylamino)-pyridin-4-ylmethyl]-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid methylamide as tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

Art Unit: 1624

Additionally, Applicant claims compositions comprising said salt forms of tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

Bilodeau discloses claims salt forms of 4-[2-(5-cyano-thiazol-2-ylamino)-pyridin-4-ylmethyl]-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid methylamide as tyrosine kinase inhibitors, which share the same formulaic compounds. (See Abstract and Formula 1, pages 5-31 and 111-112). The compound in the said reference has the same structure and includes a polymorphous form of HCl salt of 4-[2-(5-cyano-thiazol-2-ylamino)-pyridin-4-ylmethyl]-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid methylamide, and falls within the range of Applicant's compound. (See page 111-112). Additionally, on pages 31-32, Bilodeau teaches compositions comprising said salt. Since Bilodeau teaches the exact compound and composition, Applicant's claims are anticipated, and thus, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-23 and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bilodeau et al. (WO 01/17995).

Applicant claims salt forms of 4-[2-(5-cyano-thiazol-2-ylamino)-pyridin-4-ylmethyl]-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid methylamide as tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

Additionally, Applicant claims different salts of 4-[2-(5-cyano-thiazol-2-ylamino)-pyridin-

4-ylmethyl]-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid methylamide characterized by different X-ray powder diffraction patterns having different diffraction angles and melting endotherms.

Bilodeau discloses claims salt forms of 4-[2-(5-cyano-thiazol-2-ylamino)-pyridin-4-ylmethyl]-piperazine-1-carboxylic acid methylamide as tyrosine kinase inhibitors, which share the same formulaic compounds.

Bilodeau does not disclose 4-[2-(5-cyano-thiazol-2-ylamino)-pyridin-4-ylmethyl]piperazine-1-carboxylic acid methylamide characterized by different X-ray powder
diffraction patterns having different diffraction angles and melting endotherms, however,
one skilled in the art would find the differences in the teaching to be negligible.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Bilodeau to obtain the salt forms in the instant application. All of the moieties are taught in the art. Obviousness based on similarity of structure and functions entails motivation to make the claimed compound in expectation that compounds similar in structure will have similar properties. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make the claimed compounds in searching for new salt forms with tyrosine kinase activity. See In re Payne, 203 USPQ 245 (CCPA 1979). Since Applicant's claims are prima facie obvious in view of the teachings of Bilodeau, Applicant's claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Conclusion

Claims 1-28 are pending. Claims 1-28 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,114

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL V WARD whose telephone number is 571-272-2909. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 am to 4 pm.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Janes O. Wilson

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Technology Center 1600